




Inseason analysis of chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) bycatch from the shoreside sector of the Bering Sea
Aleutian Islands walleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) trawl fishery

Results from Statistical Week 27

Patrick Barry, Abby Duffy, Jason Smith, Jordan Head, and Wes Larson

Report generated on: 2026-07-09

Contents

Summary	2
Introduction	3
Methods	3
Bycatch Sampling	3
Genotyping	4
Genetic Stock Identification	4
Results	5
Chum Salmon Bycatch	5
Sampling & Genotyping	5
Stock Specific Catches	6
References	8
Appendix I - 2026 GSI Results	9

Summary

This is the third inseason analysis of the 2026 chum salmon *Oncorhynchus keta* bycatch from the shoreside sector of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI) walleye pollock *Gadus chalcogrammus* trawl fishery. In statistical week 27 there were 7,636 chum salmon caught by the shoreside sector. Of that total catch, 1586 were sampled, 642 were selected for genotyping (524 samples representative of the shoreside sectors weekly catch and 118 for additional spatiotemporal analyses), and 516 (6.8% of the total catch) were successfully genotyped to determine the genetic stock composition of the bycatch. E GOA/PNW comprised the largest proportion of the chum salmon bycatch (55.2%), 4,213 chum salmon. Western Alaska (Coastal Western Alaska and Upper/Middle Yukon combined) comprised 18.6% of the bycatch (1422 fish). Since statistical week 24 a total of 10,903 chum salmon have been caught by the shoreside sector. Of those chum salmon, an estimated 2379 were of Western Alaska (Coastal Western Alaska and Upper/Middle Yukon River) origin.

Chum salmon bycatch from statistical week 27 from the shoreside sector of the BSAI walleye pollock trawl fishery and the cumulative catch over all statistical weeks analyzed from the B season. For individual week estimates see Appendix I.

Region	Stat Week 27 (PSC = 7,636; n = 516)					B season
	Est. num.	Est. CI	Mean	2.5%	97.5%	Est. num.
SE Asia	942	729-1,180	0.123	0.095	0.154	1343
NE Asia	692	502-908	0.091	0.066	0.119	954
W Alaska	959	684-1,259	0.126	0.090	0.165	1668
Up/Mid Yukon	463	274-689	0.061	0.036	0.090	711
SW Alaska	367	140-626	0.048	0.018	0.082	417
E GOA/PNW	4,213	3,840-4,575	0.552	0.503	0.599	5793

Introduction

Chum salmon (*Oncorhynchus keta*) incidental catch occurs within the Federally Managed midwater trawl fishery for walleye pollock (*Gadus chalcogrammus*) in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands (BSAI). Salmon are managed as a prohibited species catch (referred to as bycatch) and are highly regulated. The fishery is composed of three distinct processing sectors, each with different operational constraints. Within the shoreside sector, smaller vessels which lack the ability to process hauls at-sea often fish closer to the Alaska Peninsula. Differences in the stock specific distribution of chum salmon within the Bering Sea result in the shoreside sector often catching the largest proportion and number of Western Alaska (Coastal Western Alaska and Upper/Middle Yukon River genetic groups combined) chum salmon bycatch relative to the catcher processor and mothership sectors (Kondzela et al. 2017). Currently, annual estimates of genetic stock composition are produced by the genetics program of NOAA's Alaska Fishery Science Center (AFSC) and presented to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council (NPFMC) at their April Council meeting (~3 months after the end of the B season). Within the fishery, all chum salmon bycatch is enumerated by the North Pacific Observer Program and 1 in 30 are sampled for length, weight, sex, and a tissue sample and a scale are sent to the AFSC genetics program for analysis. In 2024, a project was initiated by Bristol Bay Science Research Institute (BBSRI) to sample the bycatch from the shoreside sector of the fleet in order to obtain weekly estimates of genetic stock composition. Estimates were produced in both 2024 and 2025, with weekly and annual reports available at <https://www.bbsri.org/inseason-chum-genetics>. This is the third year for which weekly stock composition estimates have been made in season. This report outlines the results the analysis of the chum salmon bycatch from statistical week 27 (28 Jun - 04 Jul).




Figure 1: Map of National Marine Fisheries Service management areas within the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. Colored areas indicate management areas where chum salmon bycatch has historically occurred.

Methods

Bycatch Sampling

Port samplers, employed by BBSRI, sampled pollock hauls delivered to processing plants in Dutch Harbor and Akutan. The target sampling rate for statistical week 27 was fixed at 1 in 5 chum salmon sampled (Table

1). After NMFS observers had processed the offload, BBSRI technicians took a length measurement, scale sample for age estimation, and a fin clip for genetic analyses. Fin clips were stapled onto a Whatman card labelled with haul-level information from the delivery. Scale samples were mounted on gum cards and stored for post-season analysis. Sampling and genotyping data were sent to the AFSC genetics program for analysis.

Genotyping

All tissue samples collected were sent to the BBSRI staffed genetics laboratory in Dutch Harbor for processing. Genomic DNA was extracted from dried fin clips with Macherey-Nagel (Allentown, PA) NucleoSpin Tissue kits. Extracted DNA was amplified for 96 single nucleotide polymorphism markers (SNPs) with a Fluidigm (San Francisco, CA) BioMark X9 system with 96.96 Dynamic Array integrated fluidic circuit (IFC). Each of the 9,216 parallel reactions consisted of 50–500 $\eta g/\mu l$ DNA, 1X Fast GT Sample Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), 1X TaqMan GTXpress Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), 10X Custom ABI TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems), 1X Assay Loading Reagent (Fluidigm), and 2.5X ROX Reference Dye (Invitrogen). The temperature profile for amplification was thermal mixing at 60°C for 10 min and 70°C for 30 min followed by “Hot-Start” denaturation at 95°C for 2 min and 40 cycles of amplification (denaturation at 95°C for 2 s and annealing at 60°C for 20 s). After amplification, genotypes were scored with BioMark Genotyping Analysis software.

Genetic Stock Identification

Mixtures were created by grouping sampled fish into temporal groups (statistical week) from non-debriefed observer data provided by the Alaska Regional Office and linked to genetic samples by BBSRI. Individual samples with fewer than 80% of their multilocus genotype scored were dropped from analyses. Additionally, if individuals are identified to have matching multilocus genotypes (>95% similarity) the individuals with fewer scored loci was dropped. Genetic stock identification was performed with the conditional genetic stock identification model in the R package *rubias* (Moran and Anderson 2019) following the methods used in NOAA’s annual bycatch reports. Briefly, baseline populations were grouped into seven regions adapted from (2010): Southeast Asia (SE Asia), Northeast Asia (NE Asia), Coastal Western Alaska (W Alaska), Upper/Middle Yukon (Up/Mid Yukon), Southwest Alaska (SW Alaska), and the Eastern GOA/Pacific Northwest (E GOA/PNW). For all estimates, the Dirichlet prior parameters for the stock proportions were defined by region to be $1/(GC_g)$, where C_g is the number of baseline populations in region g , and G is the number of regions. To ensure convergence to the posterior distribution, seven separate MCMC chains of 100,000 iterations (burn-in of 50,000) of the non-bootstrapped model were run, with each chain starting at disparate values of stock proportions; configured such that for each chain 95% of the mixture came from a single designated reporting group (with probability equally distributed among the populations within that reporting group) and the remaining 5% equally distributed among remaining reporting groups. The convergence of chains for each reporting group estimate was assessed with the Gelman-Rubin statistic (Gelman and Rubin 1992) estimated with the `gelman.diag` function in the `coda` library (Plummer et al. 2006) within R. Once chain convergence was confirmed, inference was conducted with the conditional genetic stock identification model with bootstrapping over reporting groups (MCMC chains of 100,000 iterations, burn-in of 50,000, 100 bootstrap iterations).

The stock composition estimates were summarized by the mean, standard deviation, median, 95% credible interval (2.5th and 97.5th percentile of the MCMC iterates in the posterior output), and $P = 0$, which is the probability that a stock composition estimate is effectively zero (Munro et al. 2012). The $P = 0$ statistic is the frequency of the last half of the MCMC iterates of each chain for which the individual regional contribution to the mixture was less than a threshold of $0.5E^{-6}$. This statistic may be more useful than the credible interval for assessing the presence or absence of minor stocks. The estimated number of fish for each genetic group, and associated uncertainty, is estimated as the mean stock proportion and 95% credible intervals multiplied by the total bycatch in a given statistical week.

Results

Chum Salmon Bycatch

In statistical week 27 of the BSAI pollock trawl fishery there were 7,636 chum salmon caught by the shoreside sector. The majority of these chum salmon were caught in NMFS area 509. Since statistical week 24 a total of 10,903 chum salmon have been caught by the shoreside sector. Chum have been caught in four statistical areas. The majority of chum salmon caught have come from NMFS area 509 (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Number of chum salmon caught by the shoreside sector of the Bering Sea pollock trawl fishery by statistical week. Weekly totals for which fewer than 3 vessels made deliveries have been omitted.

Sampling & Genotyping

In statistical week 27, BBSRI technicians sampled hauls delivered to Dutch Harbor and Akutan. A total of 1,586 chum salmon were sampled for an overall sampling rate of 0.21 or $\sim 1/5$. Not all chum salmon sampled were genotyped. Of the 1,586 samples collected, 642 were selected for genotyping (524 samples representative of the shoreside sectors weekly catch and 118 for additional spatiotemporal analyses) with 516 (32.5% of those sampled) successfully genotyped. Of those genotyped samples, 2 pair of samples were likely duplicates and one sample was removed before analyzing the mixture.

Table 1: Chum salmon bycatch sampling and genotyping information for statistical week 27 for the shoreside processing plants. Sampling is grouped by processing plant and target sampling rate. Chum genotyped is the number of chum that were amplified for the marker panel. Chum analyzed are those chum that were genotyped for at least 80% of the genetic markers after potential duplicate samples were removed.

Plant	Target Sample Rate	Total Chum	Chum Sam- pled	Sample Rate	Chum Geno- typed	Chum Ana- lyzed	Genotype Rate
P1	0.2	596	119	0.200	40	40	0.067
P2	0.2	472	93	0.197	60	35	0.074
P3	0.2	2694	538	0.200	174	173	0.064
P4	0.2	2412	483	0.200	156	155	0.064
P5	0.2	1462	353	0.241	212	113	0.077
Total		7636	1586	0.210	642	516	0.068

Stock Specific Catches

In statistical week 27, 6 of the six genetic groups were present in the bycatch. The E GOA/PNW reporting group comprised the largest proportion of the chum salmon bycatch (55.2%), 4,213 of the total bycatch of 7,636 chum salmon. The second largest contributing regional group to the bycatch was W Alaska with 12.6% or 959 fish. Western Alaska (Coastal Western Alaska and Upper/Middle Yukon combined) comprised 18.6% of the bycatch (1422 fish). Asia (NE Asia and SE Asia combined) comprised 21.4% of the bycatch (1634 fish).

Table 2: Chum salmon bycatch from statistical week 27 of the shoreside sector BSAI trawl fishery (PSC = 7,636; n = 516)

Region	Est. num.	Est. CI	Mean	2.5%	97.5%	P=0	SF
SE Asia	942	729-1,180	0.123	0.095	0.154	0.00	1.00
NE Asia	692	502-908	0.091	0.066	0.119	0.00	1.00
W Alaska	959	684-1,259	0.126	0.090	0.165	0.00	1.00
Up/Mid Yukon	463	274-689	0.061	0.036	0.090	0.00	1.00
SW Alaska	367	140-626	0.048	0.018	0.082	0.00	1.00
E GOA/PNW	4,213	3,840-4,575	0.552	0.503	0.599	0.00	1.00

Of the 10,903 chum salmon caught by the shoreside sector since statistical week 24, the largest contributing genetic group to the bycatch has been the E GOA/PNW, with a point estimate of 5,793 chum salmon. Since the start of the B season, the Coastal Western Alaska (W Alaska) group has comprised an average of 18.2% of the bycatch, with the shoreside sector having caught a total of 1,668 chum salmon from this genetic group. The Southwest Alaska (SW Alaska) regional group made up an average of 2.8% of the bycatch over the 4 weeks, with a total of 417 chum salmon harvested to date. The Upper/Middle Yukon (Up/Mid Yukon) group accounted for an average of 7% of the chum salmon bycatch, with 711 fish harvested since statistical week 24-25.



A**B**

Figure 3: Chum salmon bycatch proportion (A) and total number of fish (B) for the B season of the shoreside sector pollock trawl fishery. Error bars represent 95% credible intervals.

References

- Gelman, A., and D. B. Rubin. 1992. Inference from iterative simulation using multiple sequences. *Statistical Science* 7:457–511.
- Gray, A., C. Marvin, C. Kondzela, T. McCraney, and J. R. Guyon. 2010. Genetic stock composition analysis of chum salmon bycatch samples from the 2009 bering sea trawl fisheries. Report to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, NOAA, 605 W. 4th Ave., Anchorage, Alaska, 99510.
- Kondzela, C. M., J. A. Whittle, S. C. Vulstek, H. T. Nguyen, and J. R. Guyon. 2017. Genetic stock composition analysis of chum salmon bycatch samples from the 2011 bering sea walleye pollock trawl fishery. NOAA Technical Memorandum, NOAA.
- Moran, B. M., and E. C. Anderson. 2019. Bayesian inference from the conditional genetic stock identification model. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 76(4):551–560.
- Munro, A. R., C. Habicht, T. H. Dann, D. M. Eggers, W. D. Templin, T. T. Baker, K. G. Howard, J. R. Jasper, S. D. Rogers Olive, H. L. Liller, E. L. Chenoweth, and E. C. Volk. 2012. Harvest and harvest rates of chum salmon stocks in fisheries of the western alaska salmon stock identification program (WASSIP), 2007-2009. Special Publication, Alaska Department of Fish; Game, 333 Raspberry Road, Anchorage, Alaska, 99518-1565.
- Plummer, M., N. Best, K. Cowles, and K. Vines. 2006. CODA: Convergence diagnosis and output analysis for MCMC. *R News* 6:7–11.

Appendix I - 2026 GSI Results

Prior week stock composition estimates of chum salmon bycatch from the 2026 shoreside sector's Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands, B-season pollock trawl fishery.

Stat Week 24-25 (PSC = 1,421; n = 279)

Region	Est. num.	Est. CI	Mean	2.5%	97.5%	P=0	SF
SE Asia	66	33-106	0.046	0.023	0.075	0.00	1.00
NE Asia	67	34-109	0.047	0.024	0.076	0.00	1.00
W Alaska	197	139-264	0.139	0.098	0.186	0.00	1.00
Up/Mid Yukon	96	53-149	0.068	0.037	0.105	0.00	1.00
SW Alaska	50	21-88	0.035	0.015	0.062	0.00	1.00
E GOA/PNW	945	864-1,023	0.665	0.608	0.720	0.00	1.00

Stat Week 26 (PSC = 1,829; n = 356)

Region	Est. num.	Est. CI	Mean	2.5%	97.5%	P=0	SF
SE Asia	335	260-415	0.183	0.142	0.227	0.00	1.00
NE Asia	195	132-267	0.107	0.072	0.146	0.00	1.00
W Alaska	512	403-619	0.280	0.220	0.339	0.00	1.01
Up/Mid Yukon	152	95-220	0.083	0.052	0.120	0.00	1.00
SW Alaska	0	0-74	0.000	0.000	0.040	0.69	1.05
E GOA/PNW	635	546-728	0.347	0.298	0.398	0.00	1.00